

Governance Group Meeting

MINUTES

Meeting Information

Time:	2:30 – 4:00 pm
Date:	Friday 27 April 2018
Location:	NZTA Boardroom, Level 2, 50 Victoria Street, Wellington
Present:	Fergus Gammie (NZTA) (via video) Mayor Justin Lester (WCC) Cr Chris Calvi-Freeman (WCC) Cr Chris Laidlaw (GWRC)
In Attendance	Dame Fran Wilde (NZTA) Cr Sarah Free (WCC) Barry Mein (Alliance Director) Kevin Lavery (WCC) Peter Clark (NZTA) (via video) Luke Troy (GWRC) Adam Nicholls (NZTA) Harriet Shelton (GWRC)

Minutes

Item	Description
1	<u>Apologies</u> Raewyn Bleakley (NZTA) Cr Barbara Donaldson (GWRC) Mayor Wayne Guppy (UHCC) Greg Campbell (GWRC) David Chick (WCC) Emma Speight (NZTA) Willy Trolove (LGWM)

2	<p><u>Minutes of 20 March 2018 Governance Group meeting</u></p> <p>Minutes from the previous meeting held on 13 February 2018 were accepted as a true and correct record.</p> <p>There were no matters arising</p>
3	<p><u>Directors' update</u></p> <p>The Alliance Directors report was discussed, and the following points raised:</p> <p>Market research:</p> <p>Preliminary results from the research were presented, based on unweighted responses from 848 of 1,200 respondents. More detailed results will be presented to the next Governance Group meeting.</p> <p>Joint councillor workshop</p> <p>The presentation slides presented to the workshop will be circulated to councillors (without maps which are subject to change).</p> <p>Stakeholder engagement</p> <p>The Governance Group agreed that the summary of proposed response to public feedback should be made available and used as the basis for follow-up discussions with stakeholders.</p> <p>Timetable for release of RPI</p> <p>The Governance Group agreed that the current timetable for finalising the RPI should be retained but noted the possible need to review the approvals timetable to enable discussions with Ministers on funding and delivery options to be undertaken. This matter will be discussed at the next Governance Group meeting once further details are available.</p>
4	<p><u>Update on investigations</u></p> <p>The Governance Group discussed the paper and accompanying slides.</p> <p>The Group noted the emerging preference for mass transit and other elements of the RPI.</p> <p>The Group noted the preference for LRT to Newtown based on uplift potential. Extension to the airport would be a later stage as fewer redevelopment opportunities to offset its high costs. The Governance Group noted the need to develop mass transit in stages but favoured an approach that showed the full system. Care will be needed to ensure that the inclusion of BRT in the final package does not confuse this story.</p> <p>The need for further work to be undertaken on specific route choices along the corridor (e.g. Golden Mile vs Waterfront) was noted. The need to consider all options (e.g. Featherston St) was highlighted.</p> <p>The need to consider the impacts on the Mt Victoria tunnel and Basin Reserve was highlighted, as was the need for complementary land use policies to ensure that the uplift potential can be realised.</p>

	<p>There was discussion on the high cost of trenching SH1 through Te Aro, and whether this would be supported by the benefits. The Governance Group expressed a preference for doing things properly rather than compromising to reduce costs.</p>
<p>5</p>	<p><u>Recommended programme of investment</u></p> <p>Subject to the results of evaluation, <i>the Governance group expressed</i>* a preference for a full programme incorporating all the main interventions under discussion. The sequencing of the key elements would therefore be important: acknowledgment that it will be necessary to phase delivery of a full suite of improvements over time. The preference is that the overall programme should be bold and focus on the best long-term outcome for Wellington, even if this means a longer implementation timeframe. The RPI should avoid compromises to meet short-term constraints. The sequencing of investments therefore becomes important.</p> <p>The framework for the narrative and the outline of the vision and challenges sections were presented without detailed discussion: the overall approach is supported. Members were invited to communicate any detailed comments to the Programme Director.</p> <p>ACTION: Provide any comments on the RPI narrative to the Programme Director (ALL)</p> <p><i>* Note: highlighted text was omitted from minutes originally circulated</i></p>
<p>6</p>	<p><u>Approvals timetable</u></p> <p>The Governance Group agreed that the current timetable for finalising the RPI should be retained but noted the possible need to review the approvals timetable to enable discussions with Ministers on funding and delivery options to be undertaken. This matter will be discussed at the next Governance Group meeting.</p> <p>It was agreed that the date for the next joint councillor workshop should be shifted to mid-June.</p>
<p>7</p>	<p><u>Other business</u></p> <p>Members noted the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to include early improvements in the RPI • Options for rail/LRT transfer to be examined as part of the next stage • Next Governance Group meeting to be extended beyond 90 minutes to enable time to fully consider the Draft RPI